

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/848,764	05/04/2001	Sakae Ishikawa	207187US2	7828
22850 7	590 12/31/2002			
OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT PC FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY			EXAMINER	
			BUTLER, MICHAEL E	
ARLINGTON, VA 22202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3653	
			DATE MAILED: 12/31/2002	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



# Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/848,764

Applicant(s)

Ishikawa et al.

Examiner

Michael E. Butler

Art Unit **3653** 

- The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS STATE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a).	In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within	the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
<ul> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause</li> </ul>	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 30.	2002
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This a	oction is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is parte Quay/035 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 🛛 Claim(s) <u>1-71</u>	is/are pending in the applica
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from considera
5)	is/are allowed.
6)	is/are rejected.
7)	is/are objected to.
8) X Claims 1-71	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is	s/are aD accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the dra	awing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	is: a □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	o this Office action.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:	
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> </ol>	ve been received.
2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have	/e been received in Application No
application from the International Bure	
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	·
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provision</li> <li>15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic</li> </ul>	
Attachment(s)	priority diluci 33 0.3.C. gg 120 and/or 121.
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

Serial Number: 09/848764

Art Unit: 3653

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restriction

1. Applicant has made a provisional election of I species premised upon upon examiner's characterization at the close of the fiscal year of there being five species and no generic claims and a presumption the Office adheres to the original species requirement. Applicants asserts there are four embodiments: i) simultaneously carrying out deliver of a new product and collection of an old product.(to same location) ii) the second embodiment relates to carrying out delivery of a new product and collection of an old product at different times iii) carrying out deliver of specific product and of collection of another type of product iv) delivery and collection of products manufactured at different locations; and claim 1 is generic to all embodiments.

The Office accepts and adopts applicant's characterization of there being four species. The previous species requirement is withdrawn and replaced with the adoption of the applicant's characterization.

Applicant's assertion that claim 1 is generic to all species is adopted by the Office. As applicant placed only a provisional election premised upon adherence to the original election of species requirement, the following requirement is made affording applicant the opportunity to elect a species in light of the revised species requirement.

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Serial Number: 09/848764

Art Unit: 3653

Species I: The first embodiment, as shown in figures 1-3 drawn to a method and apparatus for simultaneously carrying out deliver of a new product and collection of an old product, of the same type product to and from a same location.

Species II: The second embodiment, as shown in figures 6-7 drawn to a method and apparatus for carrying out deliver of a new product and collection of an old product, of the same type product to and from a same location but at differing times.

Species III: The third embodiment, drawn to a method and apparatus for carrying out deliver of a new product and collection of an old product, of differing type products.

Species IV: The fourth embodiment as shown in figures 8 drawn to a method and apparatus for carrying out deliver of a new product and collection of an old products to and from a differing locations.

3. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Claim 1 appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that

Page: 4 Serial Number: 09/848764

Art Unit: 3653

a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

- 4. Applicant has presented an argument that if claim 1 is allowable, all non-elected claims ought be allowable. This argument relates to rejoinder of non-elected claims. A general attribute of dependent claims is that they contain the limitations of their base claims. If the base claim(s) define over the prior art, the dependent claim(s) define over that same art without examination, so rejoinder of the claims occurs (excepting such situations as the base claim chronologically overcoming the prior art via a 131 affidavit or CIP continuity link while the subsequently invented dependent claims fail to overcome the intervening prior art, or rolling of elected group special technical features into a base claim to such an extent as to destroy compatibility of the nonelected and amended base claims under 35 USC 112).
- 5. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-38 drawn to a conveyor control system classified in class 700 subclass 230.
  - II. Claims 39-71 drawn to a method and apparatus for storing and transporting articles to horizontally static structure, classified in class 108, subclass 55.1.
- 6. Inventions I, II, and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as to a system for sorting and retrieving items remotely housed from a point of sale

Art Unit: 3653

terminal. See MPEP § 806.05(d). In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as storing clothing. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Exmr. Michael E. Butler whose telephone number is (703) 308-8344.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Walsh, can be reached on (703) 306-4173. The fax number for the Group is (703) 305-7687.

Michael E. Butler

Michael & Birder

Examiner